Case 1:17-cr-00026-PAE Document 12 Filed 02/01/1

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC #:

DATE FILED: 2/1/2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

CHRISTOPHER CUMMINS,

Defendant.

17-cr-26 (PAE)

STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXI] ORDER TO **CORRECT TRANSCRIPT** WITH ERRATA SHEET

WHEREAS, the undersigned counsel have reviewed the transcript of the plea proceeding held on January 12, 2017 (the "Transcript"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the undersigned attorney for the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division and the undersigned attorney for the defendant, Christopher Cummins, that the Errata Sheet attached hereto as Exhibit B corrects the Transcript.

The Court directs the government to notify the court reporters of this order.

FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ANTITRUST DIVISION

Evan T. Barr

One New York Plaza

New York, New York 10004

(212) 859-8000

evan.barr@friedfrank.com

Attorneys for Defendant

Christopher Cummins

Dated: New York, New York January <u>31</u>, 2017

By

Eric Hoffmann 26 Federal Plaza, Room 3630 New York, New York 10278 (212) 335-8000 eric.hoffmann@usdoj.gov

Trial Attorney, Department of Justice Antitrust Division

SO ORDERED

February 1, 2017

New York, New York

EXHIBIT A

Case 1:17-cr-00026-PAE Document 12 Filed 02/01/17 Page 4 of 41

H1C3CUMP Plea 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 17 CR 26 (PAE) v. 5 CHRISTOPHER CUMMINS, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. January 12, 2016 9 11:30 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. PAUL A. ENGELMAYER, 12 District Judge 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division 16 ERIC C. HOFFMANN 17 BRYAN SERINO 18 FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON, LLP 19 Attorneys for Defendant EVAN T. BARR 20 ELIZABETH P. KOZLOWSKI SHANNON DOHERTY 21 22 23 24 25

1 MR. HOFFMANN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Eric Hoffmann and Bryan Serino for the United States government, 2 3 Department of Justice Antitrust Division. 4 THE COURT: Very good. Good morning to you. MR. BARR: Good morning, your Honor. Evan Barr for 5 the defendant Mr. Cummins. With me at the table are my 6 7 associates Elizabeth Kozlowski and Shannon Doherty. THE COURT: Good morning to all of you, and of course 8 9 to you, Mr. Cummins. You may all be seated. And good morning 10 as well to the members of the public who are in attendance. 11 Is there an application to seal the transcript of this 12 proceeding? 13 MR. HOFFMANN: No, there is not, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: In other words, there is no basis for 15 sealing? The fact of the cooperation is a public event? 16 MR. HOFFMANN: Correct. 17 THE COURT: Very good. All right. 18 Mr. Barr, I have been informed that your client wishes 19 today to plead quilty to a single-count information. Is that 20 correct? 21 MR. BARR: That is, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And Mr. Cummins, is that correct that you 23 intend today to plead guilty to the information? 24 THE DEFENDANT: That is correct, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Counsel, is the guilty plea pursuant to a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

plea agreement?

MR. HOFFMANN: Yes, it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: Would counsel please hand up the signed plea agreement. Is the agreement identical, save for the presence of signatures? Is it identical to what was sent to my chambers in advance?

MR. HOFFMANN: Yes, it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to have Mr. Smallman mark this as Government Exhibit 1, and later on in the course of the proceeding I will be questioning counsel and the defendant about the terms of the plea agreement. All right.

Mr. Cummins, before accepting your guilty plea, I'm going to ask you certain questions so that I can establish to my satisfaction that you wish to plead guilty because you are guilty and not for some other reason.

If you don't understand any of my questions or you'd like a further opportunity to consult with your attorneys, will you please let me know?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I will.

THE COURT: You don't need to rise each time. Just speak into the microphone, that would be fine. Thank you.

Are you able to speak and understand English?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Mr. Smallman, will you please place the defendant under oath.

1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please rise and raise your right 2 hand. 3 (Defendant sworn) 4 THE COURT: Mr. Cummins, you may be seated. Do you 5 understand that you are now under oath, and that any knowingly 6 false answers can subject you to the penalties of perjury? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: What is your full name? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: My full name is Christopher Michael 10 Cummins. 11 THE COURT: How old are you? 12 THE DEFENDANT: I'm 50. 13 THE COURT: How far did you go in school? 14 THE DEFENDANT: I've completed a bachelor's degree. THE COURT: Where and when was that? 15 16 THE DEFENDANT: I graduated in 1984 -- excuse me. 17 1988 from Fordham University. 18 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized 19 for any mental illness? 20 THE DEFENDANT: I have not, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 22 under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist? 23 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized or treated

for addiction to any drugs or to alcohol?

1	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.		
2	THE COURT: In the past 24 hours have you taken any		
3	drugs, medicine or pills or drunk any alcoholic beverages?		
4	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.		
5	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?		
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor.		
7	THE COURT: Do you understand what's happening in this		
8	proceeding?		
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.		
10	THE COURT: Mr. Barr, do you have any doubt as to your		
11	client's competence to plead at this time?		
12	MR. BARR: I do not, your Honor.		
13	THE COURT: How about you, Mr. Hoffmann?		
14	MR. HOFFMANN: No, the government does not.		
15	THE COURT: Based on the defendant's responses to my		
16	questions and his demeanor as he appears before me, I find that		
17	he is competent to enter a plea of guilty at this time.		
18	Mr. Cummins, have you had a sufficient opportunity to		
19	discuss your case with your attorneys?		
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, your Honor.		
21	THE COURT: Have you had a sufficient opportunity to		
22	discuss the particular charges to which you intend to plead		
23	guilty, any possible defenses to that charge, and the		
24	consequence of entering a plea of guilty?		

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorney's representation of you?

THE DEFENDANT: I am, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm now going to explain one by one certain Constitutional rights that you have. You'll be giving up these rights if you enter a plea of quilty. Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you are entitled to a speedy and a public trial by a jury on the charges contained in the information. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At that trial, you would be presumed to be innocent, and the government would be required to prove you quilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found guilty. You would not have to prove that you were innocent, and a jury of 12 people would have to agree unanimously that you were guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At that trial, and at every stage of your case, you would be entitled to be represented by an attorney. And if you could not afford one, one would be appointed to represent you free of charge.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: During a trial, the witnesses for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

government would have to come to court and testify in your presence and your lawyer could cross-examine the witnesses for the government, object to evidence offered by the government, and if you desired, issue subpoenas, offer evidence, and compel witnesses to testify on your behalf.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At a trial, although you would have the right to testify if you chose to, you would also have the right not to testify, and no inference or suggestion of guilt could be drawn from the fact that you did not testify, if that was what you chose to do.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: At trial, the government would have to prove each and every part or element of a charge beyond a reasonable doubt for you to be convicted of that charge.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict?

> Yes, your Honor. THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: Even at this time, right now, as you're entering this plea, you have the right to change your mind,

plead not guilty, and go to trial.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty, and I accept your plea, you'll give up your right to a trial and the other rights that I've just described. There will be no trial, and I will enter a judgment of guilty and sentence you on the basis of your guilty plea, after considering whatever submissions I receive from you and your counsel and from the government, as well as a presentence report that will be prepared by the probation department.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty, you'll also have to give up your right not to incriminate yourself, because I will ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as charged.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, the document that contains the particular charge to which you've indicated you wish to plead guilty is called an information. It has been issued by the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice, and the United States attorney.

This is a serious crime. You have a Constitutional

H1C3CUMP Plea				
right to require the government to present evidence to a grand				
jury, which may or may not vote to charge you with this crime.				
Do you understand what a grand jury is?				
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.				
THE COURT: If the grand jury did vote to charge you				
with this crime, the charge would then be contained in a				
document called an indictment, rather than an information. An				
indictment would be signed by the United States attorney and				
the grand jury foreperson.				
Do you understand that?				
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.				
THE COURT: Do you wish to give up your right to be				
charged by a grand jury?				
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.				
THE COURT: Counsel, has a waiver of indictment form				
been prepared?				
MR. HOFFMANN: Yes, your Honor.				
THE COURT: Counsel have handed up a waiver of				
indictment form. Mr. Barr, is this your signature on the form				

21 MR. BARR: Yes, it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Cummins, is this your signature on the

form?

dated today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Cummins, when you signed this form,

did you understand that you were acknowledging your willingness 1 2 to give up your right to be indicted by a grand jury? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: I find a knowing and voluntary waiver of 5 the defendant's right to be indicted by a grand jury. 6 Mr. Cummins, have you received a copy of the 7 information containing the charge against you? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I have. 9 THE COURT: Have you read it? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I have, yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Have you had an opportunity to discuss the 12 informations with your attorneys? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Do you understand that you're charged in 15 the information with conspiring to violate the antitrust laws of the United States in violation of Title 15, United States 16 17 Code, Section 1? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum 20 possible penalty for this offense is 10 years' imprisonment? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: The maximum fine for a violation of this 23 offense may reach the greatest of \$1 million, twice the gross

pecuniary gain derived by the conspirators from the offense or

twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than you as a

24

25

result of the offense.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: For pleading guilty to this offense, you may also receive a term of up to three years' supervised release.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Supervised release means that you'll be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison. If there is no prison term in a case, supervised release is then called probation. But I'm going to use the term supervised release.

There are terms of supervised release with which a person must comply. If you don't comply with them, you can be returned to prison without a jury trial for all or part of the term of supervised release imposed by the Court. Under those circumstances, you would not be given any credit towards that term for the time you served in prison as a result of your sentence for this crime, nor will you necessarily be given any credit towards that term for any time you had already spent on post-release supervision.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: For pleading quilty to this crime, you'll 1 be required to pay a mandatory \$100 special assessment. 2 3 Do you understand that? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: For pleading quilty to this crime, you may 6 be required to pay restitution to any person injured as a 7 result of your criminal conduct. Do you understand that? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: For pleading quilty to this crime, you may 11 be compelled to forfeit any and all property constituting and 12 derived from proceeds obtained by your criminal conduct. 13 Do you understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept your quilty plea and adjudge you quilty, that may deprive you 16 of valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right 17 18 to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the 19 right to possess any kind of firearm? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Are you a United States citizen? 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Under current law, there are sentencing 24 quidelines as well as other factors set forth in the sentencing

statutes that judges are required by law to consider in

25

1 determining a sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you spoken with your attorneys about the sentencing guidelines and those other factors?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that I will not be able to determine the guideline range that will form a part of my determination of what a reasonable sentence will be in your case until after a presentence report has been prepared, and until after you and your attorneys and the government have all had an opportunity to challenge any of the facts set forth in that report, again, prepared by the probation department?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even after the Court has determined what guideline range applies to your case, under the current law the Court has the discretion to impose a sentence that is higher or lower than the one recommended by the sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to predict what your sentence will be, that their prediction could be wrong. No one, not your attorney, not the government's attorney, no one can give you any assurance of what your sentence will be, because I'm going

2.2

to decide your sentence, and I'm not going to do that now and I really can't do that now. Instead, I'm going to wait until I receive the presentence report prepared the probation department, I'm going to study it carefully, I'm going to wait until I receive the parties' respective sentencing submissions and review those carefully as well. I'm going to do my own independent calculation of how the sentencing guidelines apply in your case. But most of all, I'm going to determine what a reasonable sentence is for you, based on all of the factors contained in the sentencing statute, which is known as Section 3553(a).

Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you discussed these issues and the overall sentencing process with your attorneys?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Even if your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else has told you it might be, even if it is different from what you expect, you will still be bound by your guilty plea, and you'll not be allowed to withdraw your plea of guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or anyone else or forced you in any way to plead guilty?

1 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Counsel have handed up the plea agreement 2 3 which has been marked as Government Exhibit 1. Looking at the 4 back here, I see, Mr. Hoffmann, what appears to be your 5 signature as well as that of five other trial attorneys 6 associated with the Antitrust Division of the Department of 7 Justice. 8 Is that your signature and are those the signatures of 9 your colleagues? 10 MR. HOFFMANN: Yes, they are, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Barr, I see here your signature. 12 that your signature? 13 MR. BARR: Yes, it is. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Cummins, I see your signature dated December 21. Is that your signature? 15 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Did you read this agreement before you 18 signed it? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys 21 before you signed it? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Did you believe that you understood the 24 agreement at the time you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I did.

25

THE COURT: Did you willingly sign the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did anyone force you to sign the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hoffmann, will you please summarize the material terms of the agreement.

MR. HOFFMANN: The main terms first as to the parties' agreement between Mr. Cummins and the United States government, which is defined as the Antitrust Component of the Department of Justice. The agreement creates obligations on the parts of both parties.

Mr. Cummins' agreements, or his obligations are set forth in paragraph two. He will cooperate fully and truthfully with the government's ongoing investigation into the foreign exchange market, that includes when asked to do so by the government. He will testify truthfully at any grand jury proceeding, trial-related hearing. When asked to do so by the government, he will turn over any documents that the government asks him to that are not otherwise privileged, and he agrees to commit no further crimes, amongst other provisions.

In return, the government is agreeing under paragraph four they will not bring further criminal charges against

Mr. Cummins for any crimes committed that were undertaken in furtherance of the charged combination conspiracy to fix the

prices of Central European and Eastern European, Middle Eastern and African currencies that is described in the information.

Additionally, under paragraph 11, the government has obligated itself that if Mr. Cummins upholds and carries out all of his obligations, and if the government determines that Mr. Cummins has provided substantial assistance in any federal proceeding, at the appropriate time it will submit a motion under United States sentencing guidelines 5K1.1, bringing the facts of Mr. Cummins' assistance to your Honor's attention, and will request the Court to consider in its discretion a sentence below the applicable sentencing guidelines range.

Mr. Cummins has also given up certain rights. He's agreeing to plead guilty to a one-count information charging him with a combination conspiracy to fix prices in CEEMEA currencies from at as early as 2007 to at least July 2013.

I think those are the main points.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. Very helpful, Mr. Hoffmann.

Mr. Barr, do you agree with the account that Mr. Hoffmann has given of the terms that he addressed?

MR. BARR: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else you want to put on the record?

MR. BARR: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Cummins, did you hear and understand

1 Mr. Hoffmann as he summarized those particular terms?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I did.

THE COURT: Do you have any agreement with the government about your plea or your sentence that has been left out of this written agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor, I do not.

THE COURT: I want to just highlight a few terms of the agreement. Do you understand that the agreement does not bind any federal, state or local prosecuting authority, other than the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that you must cooperate fully with the Antitrust Division or any attorneys or agents of the United States whom it designates?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that if the Antitrust Division, which I'll also call the government here, determines that you have provided substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution, and if it determines that you have fully complied with the understandings specified in the agreement, then the government will file a motion under Section 5K1.1 of the sentencing guidelines asking the Court to sentence you in light of the various factors set forth in Section 5K1.1(a)(1) through (5)?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: To unpack that a little bit, do you understand that the factors that the Court may consider under that section include the following: The significance and usefulness of your assistance, taking into consideration the government's evaluation of the assistance you rendered; the truthfulness, completeness, and reliability of any information or testimony you provided; the nature and extent of your assistance; any injury you suffered or any danger or risk of injury to you or your family as a result of your assistance; and the timeliness of your assistance.

Do you understand all that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the government files such a motion, that the sentence to be imposed remains within the sole discretion of the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the Court were to deny a 5K1.1 motion, you would not be entitled to withdraw your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the Court imposes a sentence that is unsatisfactory to you, you would not then be entitled to withdraw your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if the government determines that you have not provided substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution, or that you have violated any provision of the agreement, then the government is not obligated to file a motion under Section 5K1.1 of the sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your guilty plea just because the government decides not to file the motion?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand as well that the agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes or if it is determined that you have given any false, incomplete or misleading testimony or information, or that you've otherwise violated any provision of the agreement, you shall be subject to prosecution for any federal violations that the government has knowledge of, including perjury and obstruction of justice?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand as well that under the agreement if you commit any further crimes, or again if it is determined that you gave false, incomplete, or misleading testimony or information, or you've otherwise violated any other provision of the agreement, that all statements made by you to the government or to other designated law enforcement

1 agents, and any testimony you've given, wh

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agents, and any testimony you've given, whether to a grand jury or another tribunal, all that may be admissible in evidence in any criminal proceeding against you?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand in fact that the agreement provides that you may not assert a claim that such statements should be suppressed, and in fact that you have waived your right to claim that such statements should be suppressed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise or done anything other than what's contained in the plea agreement to induce you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone made a promise to you as to what your sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you still wish to plead guilty today pursuant to the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I do.

THE COURT: At this point I'd like you to tell me in your own words what you did that makes you believe you're guilty of the charge in the information. And it looks to me like you're about to read.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That's fine. I just want to ask of you, what it is you're about to read is something I take it you have read to yourself before and reviewed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You're confident that regardless of who worked with you on the preparation of the language there, everything that you're about to read to me is truthful and accurate?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, it is.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, between 2007 and 2013, I worked as a foreign currency dealer at a major bank located in Manhattan. I specialized in trading a variety of Central and Eastern European, Middle Eastern, and African emerging market currencies.

My customers included financial entities such as hedge funds and pension funds that needed to exchange large sums of U.S. dollars for an equivalent amount of an emerging market currency. In addition, I placed trades in these emerging market currencies with other banks, foreign exchange dealers, including through the use of electronic trading platforms.

The customers and counterparties I traded with were located here in New York, in other states in the United States, as well as overseas.

In the course of my work at the bank, I agreed with other foreign currency dealers employed at some competing banks located in New York and elsewhere on taking various actions designed to reduce competition in the foreign exchange market. These actions included, for example, agreeing with competitors to coordinate price, timing and size of bids and offers put forth on a public electronic trading platform, and agreeing to refrain from trading when one of my co-conspirators had a stronger need to trade.

Many of the communications with these other traders occurred in private chat rooms and telephone calls made on both recorded phone lines and personal cell phones.

As part of this scheme, I also entered into non-bona fide trades designed to be visible on a public trading platform, and then off center cancel those same trades shortly thereafter in a non-public manner.

The purpose of these transactions which I engaged in from my bank's offices was to manipulate the price of the currency pairation.

I understood that my conduct in connection with this scheme was wrong at the time, and for that I am deeply, deeply sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you. You mentioned a moment ago that you knew that what you were doing was wrong. Just to be clear, did you know as well you were committing a crime?

THE DEFENDANT: I did not. I was aware that -- that if my compliance officers within the bank been aware, it would have been a big issue for them.

THE COURT: Mr. Barr, ordinarily part of the allocution entails my eliciting from the defendant that he knew not only that what he was doing was in some conceptual sense wrong, but that it was a violation of the law, even if the defendant didn't know the specific law.

I am going to encourage you to briefly speak with your client for a moment. Given the very detailed and I thought helpful allocution that I just received, it would be surprising if a person did not understand that the range of price fixing that was just attested to was not in violation of the law.

Would you take a moment with your client?

MR. BARR: I would, your Honor. May I also advise the Court, Mr. Hoffmann and I have discussed this issue as well. And specifically with respect to the Sherman Act requirements, and obviously he's better situated to address that than I am, but it's my understanding that with respect to this particular offense, the elements are satisfied so long as the defendant knowingly entered into the agreement to reduce competition. So this is unusual in that sense, and I also didn't know that until getting engaged in this case.

THE COURT: Notwithstanding that, and that's an issue that has not been before me or briefed, I would welcome your

speaking to your client. And I'll hear from you in a moment as 1 to whether it is really the case that your client did not 2 3 believe his conduct was against the law. 4 MR. BARR: Okay. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 (Defendant conferring with his counsel) 7 THE COURT: Mr. Barr, have you had a chance to speak with your client? 8 9 MR. BARR: Yes. One moment. 10 THE COURT: Take your time. 11 (Defendant conferring with his counsel) 12 MR. DePAUL: Thank you, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Barr, look, I recognize, having 14 conferred briefly with my law clerk, I think you may well be 15 right. It is an extraneous element, if you will, of the statute that the defendant knew that he was committing a crime. 16 17 In that respect, it is an unusual statute. 18 Nonetheless, having put the question to him, I want to make sure you have circled back with him to see that that 19 20 answer is in fact accurate. I don't want to leave an 21 uncorrected statement like that on the record, if there is some 22 doubt as to whether or not what he just said now is a nuanced 23 account of what his state of mind was. 24 (Defendant conferring with his counsel) 25 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor --

1 THE COURT: Just kindly speak into the microphone. THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Your Honor, I understood 2 3 generally that in doing these things, I was breaking the laws 4 and values that apply to trading and traders. THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. 5 6 Mr. Cummins, are you pleading guilty today because you 7 are in fact quilty? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty today voluntarily 10 and of your own free will? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Does government counsel agree there is a 13 sufficient factual predicate for a guilty plea? 14 MR. HOFFMANN: We do, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Does defense counsel? 16 MR. BARR: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Does defense counsel know of any valid 18 defense that would prevail at trial or any reason why your client should not be permitted to plead guilty? 19 20 MR. BARR: No, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Cummins, because you acknowledge that 22 you are in fact quilty as charged in the information, because 23 I'm satisfied that you know of your rights, including your 24 right to go to trial, because I'm satisfied that you are aware

of the consequences of your plea, including the sentence that

25

may be imposed, and because I find that you're voluntarily pleading guilty, I accept your guilty plea and enter a judgment of guilty on the one count to which you have pled guilty.

Now, there will come a point at which we'll move to the sentencing phase of your case, and what I'm about to say relates to that, and I ask you to pay close attention.

The probation department is going to want at that time to interview you in connection with the presentence report that it will prepare. Given the nature of your plea agreement, it's premature to commission the probation report, but there will come a point at which that happens. If you choose to speak to the probation department, please make sure that anything you say to them is truthful and accurate. I read those reports very carefully, and along with the parties' sentencing submissions, they are quite important to me in deciding what a reasonable sentence is in a particular case.

You and your counsel have a right to examine the report, and to comment on it at the time of sentencing. I urge you to do so, and to discuss it with your attorneys before sentencing. If there are any mistakes in it, please point them out to your counsel so they can bring those mistakes to my attention before sentencing.

Will you agree to do that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I will.

THE COURT: Mr. Barr, in light of the nature of the

plea here, I take it this is not a case in which you are 1 2 seeking an expedited sentence. 3 MR. BARR: Correct. 4 THE COURT: I needed to ask. All right. I gather counsel are seeking a control date about six 5 6 months from now? 7 MR. HOFFMANN: Correct, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Is that realistic or ought we just put a 9 control date later? I'm happy to do six months from now, but 10 if it is inevitable it will get rolled over, might as well get 11 it done now. 12 MR. HOFFMANN: It will most likely rollover. 13 THE COURT: Does it make more sense to put this in the 14 late fall? You tell me. Trying to save a tree. 15 MR. HOFFMANN: I think late fall. THE COURT: As a control date, about how November 3 at 16 17 That's a Friday. 10 a.m. 18 MR. HOFFMANN: That's fine, your Honor. 19 MR. BARR: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Obviously, if in the event that the 21 circumstances of the case make that date premature, I'm happy 22 to entertain an application to adjourn the sentence.

Once it becomes clear that the sentencing date is real and not merely a control date, defense counsel, you must promptly arrange for your client to be interviewed by the

23

24

25

probation department, and government counsel, you must promptly provide your case summary to the probation department for inclusion in the presentence report.

Defense submissions will be due two weeks before sentencing. The government's submission will be due one week before hand.

If you look online, you'll see my individual rules with respect to the filing of sentencing submissions with the clerk of the court, you'll find them to be very customary for the district in terms of the sort of material that is exempt from public filing, which includes, among other things, personal medical information and the like. All right.

I believe that's all we need to take up with respect to the entry of the plea, but I understand that no bail has yet been set but that the parties have reached an agreement.

Anyone want to put that on the record, please?

MR. BARR: Yes.

THE COURT: Is it correct you've reached an agreement as to the proposed bail terms?

MR. BARR: Yes, we have, your Honor. We've agreed on the following terms: A \$50,000 personal recognizance bond,
Mr. Cummins to surrender his passport, which we have accomplished this morning at pretrial, regular pretrial supervision with reporting by phone or Internet, and unrestricted travel within the Continental U.S.

THE COURT: All right. And does government counsel agree that those terms are sufficient to assure Mr. Cummins' appearance?

MR. HOFFMANN: The government does, your Honor.

THE COURT: Having reviewed the pretrial services report briefly this morning, I too agree that those are reasonable terms, and will be happy to approve those terms of release.

I am, though, obliged, Mr. Cummins, to tell you the following: It's imperative that you abide by the terms of release. Those conditions will be embodied in a court order. A violation of any of them, for example, exceeding the travel restrictions or failing to comply with the conditions of pretrial supervision, all of those, a violation of any of those conditions can have very serious consequences for you at the time of sentencing.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that you must be in this courtroom for sentencing at the time and date that I've set or any adjournment date that later is set, or you will be guilty of a separate crime called bail jumping and subject to a fine and/or prison term in addition to whatever sentence you may receive for the crime to which you've just pled guilty?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I understand.

THE COURT: Very well. Anything further from the government?

MR. HOFFMANN: Yes, the government at this time, my colleague would like to address to your attention a motion and proposed order addressing notification to potential victims.

THE COURT: One moment. Yes, that was forwarded to my chambers, and I'm happy to hear from your colleague. Is that Mr. Serino?

MR. SERINO: Yes, good morning. The government has an unopposed motion and proposed order for alternative victim notification. In this case, the number of potential victims as well as the factual circumstances of identifying and locating each victim make it impracticable for the government to provide individualized notice to each victim of any public court proceeding or any parol proceeding in this case.

As a result, the government respectfully requests authorization for a reasonable procedure to do alternative notification. Namely (1) being in contact and notifying lead counsel for the plaintiffs in a related civil suit, and (2) providing notice on the Department of Justice's website of upcoming court appearances.

THE COURT: Very good. Mr. Barr?

MR. BARR: We have no objection.

THE COURT: I'm fine with that. Mr. Serino, let me ask you a question or two to have some context. It sounds like

H1C3CUMP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the civil suit in this case predated the filing of the information. What's the history of that suit, how long has it been out there?

MR. SERINO: Sure, your Honor.

THE COURT: What's that status, where is it?

MR. SERINO: That appears before Judge Schofield and it was filed in 2013. The allegations in that civil suit focus on a wide array of conduct in the foreign exchange market, although it primarily focuses on trading involving the euro and U.S. dollar currency pairing, although it does encapsulate broader activity.

THE COURT: Your understanding is the conduct to which Mr. Cummins has just pled quilty describes a subset but a fully contained subset of the conduct at issue in the civil litigation?

MR. SERINO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And has a class been certified in that case?

MR. SERINO: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: In other words, it becomes reasonable to expect that lead counsel in that case representing a certified class will either actually or constructively put the class, if you will, on notice or take advantage of the fact of the notification here.

MR. SERINO: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very helpful. And at the outset of the hearing I inquired of the government counsel whether you are seeking the sealing of the courtroom, and not always but very often in the context of a cooperator plea that request is made. It wasn't made here. To the extent that anyone can clarify on the record, if you're comfortable doing so, I'm interested in why that request wasn't made here.

I'm never going to object to an open courtroom, of course, but I am always curious when the ordinary norm is departed from.

MR. HOFFMANN: It is a departure. It has to do with the antitrust practice. We do not normally seek to keep the plea agreement off the public docket. We did not seek that in this case. But it is different from the practice that you're probably more used to seeing from the AUSAs here in the Southern District.

THE COURT: I take it part of it is what is happening here is historical cooperation, right? It doesn't sound as if this were a case involving a proactive tape making cooperator where you would be more averse to a public proceeding. It sounds like implicitly this is a historical case as reflected in the fact of the three- or four-year-old parallel civil litigation.

MR. HOFFMANN: The opportunities for -- they're past.

THE COURT: Very good. Well, I've reviewed the draft

order and I'm glad to sign it. I take it counsel would like me to publicly docket this once there is an information number in this case. Correct?

MR. HOFFMANN: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good. Anything further from the government?

MR. HOFFMANN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further from the defense?

MR. BARR: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. We stand adjourned.

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

No. 17-cr-26 (PAE)

CHRISTOPHER CUMMINS,

Defendant.

ERRATA SHEET FOR TRANSCRIPT OF PLEA PROCEEDING HELD JANUARY 12, 2017

The following changes are necessary to correct errors in transcription:

Page	Line	Text as it Appears in Transcript	Text as it Should Appear
10	12	informations	information
14	3	prepared the probation	prepared by the probation
16	8	The main terms first as to the parties'	The main terms first, as to the parties,
16	9	agreement between Mr. Cummins and the United States government	the agreement is between Mr. Cummins and the United States Government
16	16	exchange market, that includes when asked to do so by the	exchange market. That includes, when asked to do so by the
16	17	government. He will testify truthfully at any grand jury	government, he will testify truthfully at any grand jury
16	18	proceeding, trial-related hearing.	proceeding, and any trial-related hearing.
16	25	combination conspiracy	combination and conspiracy
23	15	off center cancel	offset or canceled
23	19	pairation	pair at issue
24	2	bank been aware	bank had been aware

Page	Line	Text as it Appears in Transcript	Text as it Should Appear
25	12	MR. DePAUL	MR. BARR
28	15	I think late fall.	I think late fall is more realistic.
28	16	about how November 3	how about November 3
29	6	before hand	beforehand
33	24	The opportunities for – they're past.	The opportunities for covert taping –
			they're past.

Case 1:17-cr-00026-PAE Document 12 Filed 02/01/17 Page 41 of 41

Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP

One New York Plaza New York, New York 10004-1980 Tel: +1.212.859.8000

Fax: +1.212.859.4000 www.friedfrank.com



Direct Line: 212.859.8951

Fax: 212.859.4000

evan.barr@friedfrank.com

January 31, 2017

By Electronic Mail

Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square, Courtroom 1305 New York, New York 10007

Re: United States v. Cummins, 17-cr-26 (PAE)

Dear Judge Engelmayer:

We represent Christopher Cummins in connection with the above captioned action. Attached please find a stipulation executed by counsel for the defense and the government with an errata sheet reflecting proposed changes to the transcript for the plea proceeding held on January 12, 2017.

We respectfully request that the Court so order the stipulation and the proposed corrections enclosed in the errata sheet.

Respectfully submitted,

Evan T. Barr

Enclosures

cc: Eric Hoffmann, Esq. (by email)